ONLINE APPENDIX

Racial/Ethnic Variation in the Relationship Between Educational Assortative Mating and Wives' Income Trajectories

Kate H. Choi and Patrick A Denice

A. Additional details about our group-based developmental trajectory models

In the first stage of our analysis, we use group-based developmental trajectory models (GBTMs) to identify latent clusters of married women's income trajectories during the initial 20 years of their first marriages. This type of discrete or finite mixed model identifies a set of predicted income trajectories based on information about the annual income earned by each spouse over the initial 20 years of first marriage. The models also compute the probability that a married woman follows each trajectory and allow us to visualize the timing, duration, and sequencing of wives' income trajectories and labor market participation (Nagin 2005). These models differ from hierarchical or growth curve models because they allow for the possibility that there are distinct latent or unobservable groups within the population with independent measures of central tendency, rather than assuming a sample mean represents the best estimate of central tendency (Nagin 2005).

Formally, the distribution of wives' work trajectories is denoted by $P(Y_i|Year_i)$, where the random vector Y_i represents wife i's longitudinal sequence of their share of a couple's total income earned in each of the initial 20 years of their first marriage, $Year_i$ (Jones & Nagin, 2013). The likelihood for each wife i, given j groups, is given by:

$$P(Y_i|Year_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \pi^j \cdot P(Y_i|Year_i, j; \beta^j), \qquad (1)$$

where π^j is the probability of membership in group j, and the conditional distribution of Y_i given membership in j is indexed by the unknown parameter β^j . These parameters define each group-specific trajectory's shape and minimally contain an intercept and usually one or more slope parameters to represent time. The number of these parameters depends on the polynomial complexity of each group's latent trajectory. Various configurations of β^j were tested. The best-fitting trajectories included an intercept and linear, squared, and cubic terms for the marital duration (in years).

For each group j, the model assumes conditional independence for the sequential realizations of Y_i , denoted by y_{it} , over the T years of observation (in this case T = 20). Thus, the model in Equation (1) can be rewritten as:

$$P(Y_i|Year_i) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} p(y_{it}|year_{it},j;\beta^j), \qquad (2)$$

where p(.) is the distribution of y_{it} , conditional on the year since the first marriage began of wife i in year t and on membership in group j. The identified trajectories represent prototypical pathways of married women's employment and thus provide "approximations of a more complex reality" (Nagin & Odgers 2010: 118).

B. Appendix Tables

Table A1. Sample selection

•	N persons	N person-years
Initial sample of women in the NLSY79 sample	6,283	_
Sample restrictions:		
Discontinued subsamples: (i) economically disadvantaged non-	-1,357	
Black, non-Hispanic subsample (discontinued in 1991); (ii)		
military oversample (discontinued in 1985)		
Those who never reported getting married or date of first marriage	-854	
Those who did not have at least one year in which they were in their	-248	
first marriage with a spouse present		
Those whose spouse is missing education or age at first marriage	-233	
Those who have no information on the dependent variable between	-122	
ages 18 and 55 or in first 20 years of marriage		
N women in analytical sample	3,469	41,005
Respondent or spouse is not aged 18-55		-3,630
After 20 years of marriage		-5,197
Missing share of annual income earned		-2,458
N person-years in analytical sample		29,720

Notes: This table describes the restrictions made to the NLSY79 respondents to obtain our analytical sample. For additional details, see the main text.

Table A2. Number of married women by race/ethnicity and educational assortative mating

	Hypergamy	Homogamy	Hypogamy	
	(H>W)	(H=W)	(H < W)	Total
NH White	604	966	414	1984
NH Black	237	374	195	806
Hispanic	194	350	135	679

Notes: Table displays unweighted counts of our sample (total N=6,649) by race/ethnicity and educational assortative mating.

Table A3. Parameters for group-based trajectories of married women's share of their couples' income earned, by race/ethnicity

	Intercept	Duration	Duration-squared	Duration-cubed
A. White women				
Consistently low secondary	27.848***	-6.325***	0.535***	-0.013***
Consistently high secondary	28.362***	-2.229***	0.264***	-0.006*
Consistently equal	44.472***	0.197	0.047	-0.002
Consistently primary	59.293***	5.301***	-0.385**	0.007
From equal to secondary	59.579***	-6.022***	0.128	0.003
From primary to secondary	100.177***	-6.558***	0.041	0.006
B. Black women				
Consistently low secondary	24.195***	-3.618***	0.386***	-0.011**
Consistently equal	40.007***	-0.383	0.125	-0.004
Consistently primary	72.556***	6.870**	-0.676**	0.018*
From equal to primary	37.440***	4.868*	-0.078	-0.005
From primary to secondary	86.574***	-6.784***	0.296	-0.004
C. Hispanic women				
Consistently low secondary	19.047***	-2.965**	0.217	-0.003
Consistently equal	38.142***	1.418	-0.019	-0.003
Consistently primary	70.319***	-0.197	-0.019	0.001
From secondary to equal	24.303***	-5.109**	0.856***	-0.027***
From equal to secondary	53.952***	-2.129	-0.267	0.016*

Notes: Table provides the parameter estimates for the preferred developmental trajectory models by race/ethnicity. Parameters describe the shape of each trajectory. Statistical significance is indicated by: *p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001.

Table A4. Multinomial logistic regression models predicting trajectory membership (base: "Consistently equal earner"), White wives

Table 114. Multinomic	Con	sistently dary, low	Con	sistently dary, high	Con	sistently imary	Decrea	asing equal econdary	Decreas	ing primary condary
	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI
Model 1: Zero-order										
EAM (ref.=Homo)										
Hyper (W <h)< td=""><td>1.97***</td><td>(1.49 - 2.61)</td><td>1.32</td><td>(0.96 - 1.83)</td><td>1.52</td><td>(0.90 - 2.57)</td><td>1.12</td><td>(0.78 - 1.61)</td><td>1.38</td><td>(0.79 - 2.41)</td></h)<>	1.97***	(1.49 - 2.61)	1.32	(0.96 - 1.83)	1.52	(0.90 - 2.57)	1.12	(0.78 - 1.61)	1.38	(0.79 - 2.41)
Hypo (W>H)	0.51***	(0.36 - 0.70)	0.63**	(0.44 - 0.89)	1.52	(0.93 - 2.47)	0.49***	(0.33 - 0.74)	0.65	(0.34 - 1.22)
Intercept	0.90	(0.76 - 1.07)	0.61***	(0.51 - 0.74)	0.15***	(0.11 - 0.21)	0.50***	(0.40 - 0.61)	0.14***	(0.10 - 0.20)
Model 2: M1+ Family be	ackground	1								
EAM (Homo)										
Hyper (W <h)< td=""><td>1.95***</td><td>(1.47 - 2.58)</td><td>1.31</td><td>(0.95 - 1.81)</td><td>1.50</td><td>(0.89 - 2.53)</td><td>1.13</td><td>(0.79 - 1.62)</td><td>1.40</td><td>(0.80 - 2.45)</td></h)<>	1.95***	(1.47 - 2.58)	1.31	(0.95 - 1.81)	1.50	(0.89 - 2.53)	1.13	(0.79 - 1.62)	1.40	(0.80 - 2.45)
Hypo (W>H)	0.51***	(0.37 - 0.71)	0.64*	(0.45 - 0.91)	1.51	(0.92 - 2.46)	0.48***	(0.32 - 0.73)	0.65	(0.35 - 1.22)
Family structure at 14										
Two parent hh	0.75	(0.55 - 1.02)	0.96	(0.68 - 1.37)	0.56*	(0.34 - 0.91)	1.07	(0.70 - 1.64)	2.12	(0.97 - 4.66)
Mother's traits										
Less than HS	1.33*	(1.01 - 1.73)	1.32	(0.98 - 1.79)	0.90	(0.55 - 1.47)	0.73	(0.50 - 1.06)	1.04	(0.60- 1.80)
Worked at age 14	0.93	(0.71 - 1.21)	0.90	(0.67 - 1.22)	1.12	(0.68 - 1.86)	1.00	(0.71 - 1.41)	0.96	(0.56 - 1.63)
Intercept	1.10	(0.75 - 1.63)	0.63*	(0.40 - 0.97)	0.23***	(0.11 - 0.46)	0.50**	(0.30 - 0.84)	0.07***	(0.031 - 0.17)
Model 3: M2+ Gender belie	fs									
EAM (ref.=Homo)										
Hyper (W <h)< td=""><td>1.90***</td><td>(1.43 - 2.53)</td><td>1.31</td><td>(0.95 - 1.82)</td><td>1.51</td><td>(0.89 - 2.55)</td><td>1.13</td><td>(0.79 - 1.63)</td><td>1.40</td><td>(0.80 - 2.44)</td></h)<>	1.90***	(1.43 - 2.53)	1.31	(0.95 - 1.82)	1.51	(0.89 - 2.55)	1.13	(0.79 - 1.63)	1.40	(0.80 - 2.44)
Hypo (W>H)	0.55***	(0.39 - 0.76)	0.66*	(0.46 - 0.94)	1.42	(0.87 - 2.33)	0.51**	(0.34 - 0.76)	0.67	(0.35 - 1.25)
Family structure at 14										
Two parent hh	0.78	(0.57 - 1.06)	1.01	(0.70 - 1.44)	0.55*	(0.34 - 0.90)	1.09	(0.71 - 1.66)	2.14	(0.97 - 4.71)
Maternal traits at 14										
Less than HS (higher)	1.22	(0.93 - 1.60)	1.26	(0.93-1.71)	0.94	(0.58 - 1.55)	0.71	(0.49 - 1.04)	1.02	(0.59 - 1.77)
Working (Not)	0.99	(0.75 - 1.31)	0.92	(0.68 - 1.25)	1.10	(0.67 - 1.82)	1.01	(0.71 - 1.42)	0.97	(0.57 - 1.66)
Gender beliefs										
Traditional values	1.59***	(1.25 - 2.00)	1.16	(0.90 - 1.51)	0.67	(0.43 - 1.06)	0.94	(0.70 - 1.25)	1.07	(0.71 - 1.60)
Anticipated work -35	0.69*	(0.47 - 1.00)	0.72	(0.48 - 1.08)	0.76	(0.40 - 1.45)	0.64	(0.41 - 1.01)	0.75	(0.36 - 1.57)
Anticipated # child (0)										
One	1.89*	(1.02 - 3.51)	2.45**	(1.25 - 4.81)	0.63	(0.25 - 1.54)	1.61	(0.70 - 3.70)	1.03	(0.31 - 3.40)
Two or more	1.66*	(1.08 - 2.68)	1.59	(0.93 - 2.73)	0.58	(0.32 - 1.04)	1.98*	(1.04 - 3.76)	1.25	(0.54 - 2.88)
Intercept	0.92	(0.47 - 1.78)	0.51	(0.24 - 1.09)	0.43	(0.15 - 1.20)	0.39*	(0.16 - 0.92)	0.08***	(0.02 - 0.27)

Table A4 (Continued)

		sistently dary, low		nsistently ndary, high		ently primary earner		ing, equal to ondary		sing, primary econdary
	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI
Model 4: M3+ Responden	t's Traits									
EAM (ref.=Homo)										
Hyper (W <h)< td=""><td>1.61**</td><td>(1.17 - 2.20)</td><td>1.02</td><td>(0.71 - 1.44)</td><td>1.60</td><td>(0.87 - 2.94)</td><td>1.21</td><td>(0.81 - 1.82)</td><td>1.30</td><td>(0.68 - 2.50)</td></h)<>	1.61**	(1.17 - 2.20)	1.02	(0.71 - 1.44)	1.60	(0.87 - 2.94)	1.21	(0.81 - 1.82)	1.30	(0.68 - 2.50)
Hypo (W>H)	0.60**	(0.42 - 0.86)	0.70	(0.48 - 1.02)	1.41	(0.84 - 2.36)	0.45***	(0.29 - 0.69)	0.61	(0.31 - 1.20)
Two parents at 14	0.89	(0.64 - 1.22)	1.07	(0.74 - 1.55)	0.52*	(0.32 - 0.86)	0.95	(0.62 - 1.46)	2.20	(0.98 - 4.94)
Mom: LTHS (higher)	1.00	(0.74 - 1.35)	1.03	(0.74 - 1.42)	1.07	(0.63 - 1.81)	0.94	(0.62 - 1.44)	1.07	(0.62 - 1.87)
Working mother (Not)	0.95	(0.71 - 1.26)	0.87	(0.63 - 1.19)	1.13	(0.68 - 1.86)	1.08	(0.76 - 1.53)	0.95	(0.56 - 1.62)
Gender beliefs										
Traditional values	1.35*	(1.05 - 1.72)	0.99	(0.76 - 1.31)	0.70	(0.44 - 1.10)	1.04	(0.77 - 1.40)	1.04	(0.67 - 1.61)
Anticipated to work -35	0.71	(0.48 - 1.04)	0.76	(0.50 - 1.16)	0.77	(0.40 - 1.46)	0.57*	(0.35 - 0.90)	0.74	(0.36 - 1.53)
Anticipated # of child (0)										
One	1.51	(0.79 - 2.88)	2.25*	(1.15 - 4.42)	0.69	(0.28 - 1.69)	1.92	(0.81 - 4.55)	0.95	(0.28 - 3.28)
Two or more	1.27	(0.75 - 2.16)	1.55	(0.90 - 2.68)	0.62	(0.36 - 1.13)	1.87	(0.94 - 3.70)	0.97	(0.39 - 2.44)
Education (High school)										
Less than HS	1.57	(0.98 - 2.51)	1.94*	(1.17 - 3.22)	0.81	(0.29 - 2.27)	1.85	(0.90 - 3.80)	1.19	(0.38 - 3.75)
Some college	0.93	(0.65 - 1.33)	1.03	(0.70 - 1.51)	1.18	(0.65 - 2.15)	1.82**	(1.19 - 2.80)	1.46	(0.76 - 2.81)
College graduate	0.87	(0.56 - 1.35)	0.73	(0.46 - 1.18)	1.23	(0.62 - 2.44)	2.02**	(1.24 - 3.28)	1.27	(0.58 - 2.81)
Premarital birth (None)	0.89	(0.57 - 1.39)	0.67	(0.39 - 1.13)	0.63	(0.27 - 1.50)	0.25**	(0.10 - 0.67)	1.17	(0.39 - 3.48)
Age at marriage (15-19)										
20-24	0.87	(0.60 - 1.26)	0.84	(0.56 - 1.26)	0.62	(0.30 - 1.30)	1.65	(0.93 - 2.92)	0.97	(0.45-2.08)
25-29	0.61*	(0.39 - 0.97)	0.44**	(0.26 - 0.75)	0.70	(0.30 - 1.66)	1.49	(0.77 - 2.86)	0.74	(0.29 - 1.92)
30+	0.47*	(0.24 - 0.83)	0.88	(0.49 - 1.56)	0.80	(0.34 - 1.93)	1.06	(0.49 - 2.29)	0.39	(0.09 - 1.64)
Unemployment spell (Non	ie)									
Unemployed	2.36***	(1.79 - 3.11)	1.53**	(1.13 - 2.08)	1.06	(0.68 - 1.69	1.10	(0.79 - 1.52)	1.19	(0.71 - 1.98)
Intercept	0.93	(0.43 - 2.02)	0.56	(0.24 - 1.30)	0.51	(0.15 - 1.74)	0.22**	(0.08 - 0.64	0.09**	(0.02 - 0.42)

Table A4 (Continued)

		tly secondary, low		atly secondary, high		ently primary earner		ing, equal to condary		ng, primary to condary
	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI
Model 5: M4+ Partn	er traits									
EAM (ref.=Homo)										
Hyper (W <h)< td=""><td>1.63**</td><td>(1.18 - 2.25)</td><td>1.00</td><td>(0.70 - 1.43)</td><td>1.44</td><td>(0.78 - 2.67)</td><td>1.16</td><td>(0.77 - 1.77)</td><td>0.86</td><td>(0.42 - 1.76)</td></h)<>	1.63**	(1.18 - 2.25)	1.00	(0.70 - 1.43)	1.44	(0.78 - 2.67)	1.16	(0.77 - 1.77)	0.86	(0.42 - 1.76)
Hypo (W>H)	0.62**	(0.43 - 0.88)	0.71	(0.48 - 1.03)	1.28	(0.76 - 2.17)	0.44***	(0.28 - 0.67)	0.52	(0.25 - 1.09)
Maternal trait at 14			•							
Two parent hh	0.86	(0.62 - 1.19)	1.04	(0.71 - 1.50)	0.54*	(0.32 - 0.89)	0.97	(0.62 - 1.51)	2.30	(0.92 - 5.71)
LT HS (higher)	1.01	(0.75 - 1.37)	1.05	(0.76 - 1.46)	1.10	(0.64 - 1.88)	0.92	(0.60 - 1.40)	0.95	(0.49 - 1.83)
Working (Not)	0.91	(0.68 - 1.22)	0.83	(0.61 - 1.14)	1.21	(0.71 - 2.04)	1.15	(0.80 - 1.66)	1.08	(0.58 - 2.00)
Gender beliefs										
Traditional values	1.36*	(1.06 - 1.75)	1.01	(0.77 - 1.34)	0.63	(0.39 - 1.02)	0.98	(0.72 - 1.34)	0.87	(0.52 - 1.45)
Ant. work 35	0.69	(0.47 - 1.02)	0.75	(0.49 - 1.13)	0.81	(0.42 - 1.58)	0.58*	(0.36 - 0.94)	0.94	(0.40 - 2.19)
Ant. # of child (0)										
One	1.51	(0.78 - 2.91)	2.32*	(1.18 - 4.56)	0.68	(0.27 - 1.72)	1.75	(0.73 - 4.19)	0.71	(0.17 - 3.05)
Two or more	1.31	(0.78 - 2.22)	1.61	(0.93 - 2.79)	0.62	(0.32 - 1.17)	1.68	(0.84 - 3.34)	0.78	(0.27 - 2.28)
Education (High sch	ool)									
Less than HS	1.66*	(1.03 - 2.69)	2.12**	(1.26 - 3.56)	0.62	(0.22- 1.77)	1.68	(0.80 - 3.55)	0.85	(0.27 - 2.66)
Some college	0.90	(0.62 - 1.30)	1.00	(0.67 - 1.48)	1.32	(0.72 - 2.41)	1.89**	(1.22 - 2.95)	1.61	(0.79 - 3.28)
College graduate	0.85	(0.54 - 1.33)	0.71	(0.44 - 1.16)	1.39	(0.69 - 2.80)	2.14**	(1.32- 3.47)	1.58	(0.66 - 3.80)
Children from prior	union									
Had (Did not)	0.90	(0.58 - 1.41)	0.65	(0.37 - 1.13)	0.60	(0.24 - 1.52)	0.26**	(0.10 - 0.68)	1.26	(0.45 - 3.59)
Age at marriage (15	-19)									
20-24	0.86	(0.59 - 1.27)	0.86	(0.57 - 1.30)	0.64	(0.30 - 1.38)	1.61	(0.89 - 2.94)	0.91	(0.37 - 2.25)
25-29	0.59*	(0.36 - 0.98)	0.46**	(0.26 - 0.82)	0.67	(0.27- 1.70)	1.40	(0.70 - 2.81)	0.65	(0.20 - 2.09)
30+	0.44*	(0.22 - 0.85)	0.97	(0.51 - 1.85)	0.82	(0.33 - 2.05)	0.95	(0.42 - 2.19)	0.41	(0.08 - 2.08)
Unemployment spel	l (None)									
Unemployed	2.54***	(1.91 - 3.38)	1.65**	(1.21 - 2.26)	0.77	(0.47 - 1.26)	0.92	(0.66 - 1.29)	0.61	(0.33 - 1.13)

Table A4 (Continued)

		nsistently ndary, low	Consister	ntly secondary, high		ntly primary arner		ng, equal to ondary		ing, primary to econdary
	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI	OR	95th CI	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI
Husband's income (bel	low povert	y line)								
100-199% poverty	0.85	(0.45 - 1.60)	1.76	(0.85-3.64)	0.30**	(0.13-0.69)	0.44*	(0.23-0.83)	0.12***	(0.04 - 0.30)
200+% poverty	2.11**	(1.31 - 3.39)	3.01***	(1.71 - 5.30)	0.14***	(0.08-0.24)	0.28***	(0.18-0.44)	0.01***	(0.00 - 0.02)
Pre-mar cohab (No)	0.97	(0.72 - 1.32)	1.04	(0.75 - 1.45)	1.08	(0.67-1.75)	1.04	(0.72-1.50)	0.90	(0.46 - 1.76)
Age gap (Wife is older	: W>H)									
H>W: ≤4 years	1.15	(0.78 - 1.68)	1.66*	(1.04 - 2.64)	1.01	(0.56-1.83)	0.67*	(0.45-1.00)	0.49*	(0.25 - 0.97)
H>W: 5+ years	1.27	(0.83 - 1.94)	1.71*	(1.02 - 2.87)	1.31	(0.65-2.63)	0.47**	(0.28 - 0.79)	0.52	(0.23 - 1.18)
Intercept	0.45	(0.17 - 1.15)	0.13***	(0.05 - 0.39)	1.99	(0.48-8.28)	0.99	(0.30 - 3.25)	2.14	(0.31 - 14.69)

Notes: Data come from the NLSY79. Multinomial logistic regression models are estimated on the sub-sample of White women (N=1,984). Coefficients are presented as relative risk ratios; 95% confidence intervals are in parentheses. Analyses are weighted. Statistical significance is indicated by: *p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001.

Table A5. Multinomial logistic regression models predicting trajectory group membership (base: consistently equal earner), Black wives

		nsistently ndary, low		ently primary earner		ing, equal to imary		ing, primary econdary
	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI
Model 1: Zero-order								
EAM (ref.=Homo)								
Hyper (W <h)< td=""><td>1.11</td><td>(0.76 - 1.64)</td><td>0.68</td><td>(0.32 - 1.47)</td><td>0.48</td><td>(0.21 - 1.06)</td><td>1.03</td><td>(0.55 - 1.93</td></h)<>	1.11	(0.76 - 1.64)	0.68	(0.32 - 1.47)	0.48	(0.21 - 1.06)	1.03	(0.55 - 1.93
Hypo (W>H)	0.49**	(0.30 - 0.80)	1.14	(0.56- 2.31)	1.32	(0.71 - 2.46)	1.41	(0.78 - 2.56
Intercept	0.71**	(0.55 - 0.90)	0.15***	(0.10 - 0.23)	0.20***	(0.13 - 0.31)	0.19***	(0.13 - 0.27
Model 2: M1+ Family background								
EAM (ref.=Homo)								
Hyper (W <h)< td=""><td>1.11</td><td>(0.71 - 1.63)</td><td>0.68</td><td>(0.31 - 1.47)</td><td>0.48</td><td>(0.21 - 1.06)</td><td>1.09</td><td>(0.58 - 2.04</td></h)<>	1.11	(0.71 - 1.63)	0.68	(0.31 - 1.47)	0.48	(0.21 - 1.06)	1.09	(0.58 - 2.04
Hypo (W>H)	0.49**	(0.30 - 0.80)	1.14	(0.56 - 2.30)	1.31	(0.70 - 2.43)	1.43	(0.78 - 2.59
Mother's traits at 14								
Two parent hh	0.92	(0.65 - 1.30)	0.95	(0.52 - 1.75)	1.02	(0.58 - 1.82)	1.53	(0.92 - 2.54
Less than HS	1.34	(0.94 - 1.92)	1.02	(0.56 - 1.86)	0.74	(0.42 - 1.30)	1.30	(0.77 - 2.20
Worked at age 14	0.97	(0.67 - 1.40)	0.93	(0.50 - 1.71)	0.95	(0.53 - 1.71)	1.37	(0.77 - 2.45
Intercept	0.64	(0.40 - 1.01)	0.16***	(0.08 - 0.34)	0.24***	(0.11 - 0.52)	0.10***	(0.05 - 0.21
Model 3: M2+ Gender beliefs								
EAM (ref.=Homo)								
Hyper (W <h)< td=""><td>1.04</td><td>(0.70 - 1.55)</td><td>0.67</td><td>(0.31 - 1.45)</td><td>0.48</td><td>(0.22 - 1.07)</td><td>1.06</td><td>(0.57 - 2.00)</td></h)<>	1.04	(0.70 - 1.55)	0.67	(0.31 - 1.45)	0.48	(0.22 - 1.07)	1.06	(0.57 - 2.00)
Hypo (W>H)	0.50**	(0.30 - 0.81)	1.15	(0.56 - 2.38)	1.34	(0.71 - 2.51)	1.48	(0.81 - 2.71
Maternal traits at 14								
Two parent hh	0.89	(0.63 - 1.27)	0.96	(0.52 - 1.75)	1.03	(0.58 - 1.85)	1.50	(0.89 - 2.52
Less than HS (higher)	1.30	(0.90 - 1.87)	1.03	(0.56 - 1.91)	0.73	(0.41 - 1.29)	1.29	(0.77 - 2.17
Working (Not)	0.97	(0.67 - 1.42)	0.90	(0.48 - 1.70)	0.99	(0.54- 1.81)	1.43	(0.79 - 2.59
Gender beliefs								
Traditional values	1.47*	(1.06 - 2.04)	0.97	(0.53 - 1.75)	1.12	(0.67 - 1.85)	1.24	(0.77 - 2.01
Anticipated to work -35	1.05	(0.55 - 2.04)	0.78	(0.24 - 2.56)	0.89	(0.33 - 2.44)	1.05	(0.37 - 2.99
Anticipated # of child (0)								
One	0.43*	(0.19 - 0.98)	0.61	(0.16 - 2.33)	3.03	(0.33-27.84)	1.24	(0.36 - 4.30
Two or more	0.50*	(0.25 - 0.99)	0.51	(0.17 - 1.54)	2.70	(0.34-21.81)	0.86	(0.28 - 2.60
Intercept	1.30	(0.47 - 3.58)	0.37	(0.07 - 2.08)	0.10	(0.01 - 1.09)	0.11**	(0.02 - 0.55

Table A5 (Continued)

		sistently dary, low		nsistently ary earner		sing, equal to rimary		ing, primary econdary
	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI
Model 4: M3+ Respondent traits								
EAM (ref.=Homo)								
Hyper (W <h)< td=""><td>0.92</td><td>(0.59 - 1.44)</td><td>0.65</td><td>(0.27 - 1.57)</td><td>0.43*</td><td>(0.20 - 0.94)</td><td>1.21</td><td>(0.58 - 2.53)</td></h)<>	0.92	(0.59 - 1.44)	0.65	(0.27 - 1.57)	0.43*	(0.20 - 0.94)	1.21	(0.58 - 2.53)
Hypo (W>H)	0.61	(0.35 - 1.05)	1.85	(0.83 - 4.11)	1.37	(0.70 - 2.72)	1.78	(0.87 - 3.62)
Maternal traits at 14								
Two parent hh	0.96	(0.69 - 1.37)	0.99	(0.54 - 1.82)	1.05	(0.59 - 1.86)	1.51	(0.89 - 2.55)
Less than HS (higher)	1.19	(0.81 - 1.73)	0.88	(0.46 - 1.70)	0.70	(0.39 - 1.27)	1.24	(0.73 - 2.11)
Working (Not)	1.04	(0.70 - 1.55)	0.89	(0.47 - 1.71)	0.99	(0.53 - 1.83)	1.44	(0.78 - 2.63)
Traditional values	1.30	(0.90 - 1.88)	0.87	(0.47 - 1.64)	1.09	(0.67 - 1.77)	1.23	(0.74 - 2.06)
Anticipated to work -35	1.10	(0.57 - 2.12)	0.83	(0.23 - 2.96)	0.93	(0.34 - 2.53)	1.02	(0.35 - 2.94)
Anticipated # of child (0)								
One	0.42*	(0.18 - 0.95)	0.61	(0.15 - 2.41)	2.96	(0.30-28.95)	1.35	(0.37 - 4.85)
Two or more	0.45*	(0.22 - 0.92)	0.45	(0.14 - 1.44)	2.60	(0.31-21.81)	0.91	(0.29 - 2.80)
Education (High school)								
Less than HS	1.27	(0.72 - 2.24)	0.97	(0.32 - 2.94)	1.39	(0.56 - 3.48)	0.65	(0.22 - 1.91)
Some college	0.94	(0.58 - 1.53)	0.34*	(0.14 - 0.80)	0.94	(0.45 - 1.95)	0.64	(0.33 - 1.24)
College graduate	0.55	(0.23 - 1.30)	0.50	(0.18 - 1.45)	1.04	(0.45 - 2.42)	0.74	(0.26 - 2.10)
Premarital birth (None)	1.06	(0.69 - 1.62)	1.16	(0.58 - 2.31)	1.09	(0.57 - 2.07)	0.89	(0.50 - 1.58)
Age at marriage (15-19)								
20-24	1.28	(0.72 - 2.26)	0.79	(0.30 - 2.10)	0.90	(0.39 - 2.11)	1.68	(0.68 - 4.13)
25-29	1.30	(0.65 - 2.61)	0.90	(0.29 - 2.78)	1.38	(0.53 - 3.58)	1.07	(0.36 - 3.19)
30+	1.03	(0.48 - 2.19)	0.59	(0.17 - 1.98)	0.95	(0.32 - 2.76)	1.36	(0.45- 4.16)
Had unemployed spell (Not)	2.12***	(1.42 - 3.19)	0.59	(0.29 - 1.20)	1.47	(0.81 - 2.68)	1.23	(0.70 - 2.14)
Intercept	0.69	(0.22 - 2.22)	0.82	(0.11 - 5.85)	0.08*	(0.01 - 0.95)	0.08**	(0.01 - 0.54)

Table A5 (Continued)

		nsistently ndary, low		nsistently ary earner		ing, equal to rimary		sing, primary econdary
	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI
Model 5: M4+ Partnership Traits								
EAM (ref.=Homo)								
Hyper (W <h)< td=""><td>0.94</td><td>(0.60- 1.47)</td><td>0.72</td><td>(0.29 - 1.81)</td><td>0.43*</td><td>(0.19 - 0.94)</td><td>1.24</td><td>(0.52 - 2.97)</td></h)<>	0.94	(0.60- 1.47)	0.72	(0.29 - 1.81)	0.43*	(0.19 - 0.94)	1.24	(0.52 - 2.97)
Hypo (W>H)	0.61	(0.35 - 1.06)	1.68	(0.68 - 4.18)	1.39	(0.69 - 2.80)	1.57	(0.72 - 3.46)
Maternal traits at 14								
Two parent hh	0.93	(0.65 - 1.34)	1.15	(0.58 - 2.30)	1.13	(0.65 - 1.98)	1.93*	(1.03 - 3.61)
Less than HS (higher)	1.23	(0.83 - 1.82)	0.74	(0.36 - 1.53)	0.65	(0.37 - 1.17)	1.27	(0.70 - 2.32)
Working (Not)	1.03	(0.69 - 1.54)	1.13	(0.57 - 2.27)	0.99	(0.52 - 1.89)	1.81	(0.94 - 3.49)
Traditional values	1.34	(0.93 - 1.92)	0.84	(0.39 - 1.80)	1.01	(0.62 - 1.65)	1.06	(0.57 - 2.00)
Anticipated to work -35	1.09	(0.57 - 2.07)	0.66	(0.15 - 2.87)	0.83	(0.31 - 2.24)	0.87	(0.32 - 2.34)
Anticipated # of child (0)								
One	0.42*	(0.18 - 0.98)	0.47	(0.10 - 2.29)	3.32	(0.32-34.37)	1.10	(0.26 - 4.71)
Two or more	0.45*	(0.22 - 0.93)	0.44	(0.11 - 1.70)	3.09	(0.35-27.25)	0.87	(0.23 - 3.35)
Education (High school)								
Less than HS	1.33	(0.76 - 2.34)	0.76	(0.22 - 2.62)	1.25	(0.49 - 3.19)	0.50	(0.15 - 1.65)
Some college	0.91	(0.56 - 1.47)	0.50	(0.19 - 1.31)	0.98	(0.48 - 2.03)	0.99	(0.44- 2.25)
College graduate	0.55	(0.23 - 1.31)	1.21	(0.33 - 4.51)	1.18	(0.49 - 2.83)	1.87	(0.52 - 6.72)
Premarital birth (None)	1.04	(0.69 - 1.59)	1.11	(0.49 - 2.48)	1.08	(0.57 - 2.06)	0.90	(0.45 - 1.80)
Age at marriage (15-19)								
20-24	1.36	(0.77 - 2.39)	0.60	(0.17 - 2.09)	0.75	(0.31 - 1.83)	1.31	(0.44 - 3.93)
25-29	1.35	(0.66 - 2.78)	0.85	(0.18 - 3.87)	0.98	(0.36 - 2.67)	1.13	(0.30 - 4.28)
30+	1.13	(0.52 - 2.49)	0.89	(0.19 - 4.04)	0.68	(0.22 - 2.14)	2.51	(0.61-10.32)

Table A5 (Continued)

		consistently condary, low		onsistently nary earner		creasing, to primary		reasing, to secondary
	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI	OR	95 th CI
Husband's income (Bel	low poverty	y line)						
100-199% poverty	1.68	(0.84 - 3.35)	0.09***	(0.03 - 0.34)	0.89	(0.33 - 2.44)	0.20***	(0.09 - 0.46)
200+% poverty	1.53	(0.89 - 2.61)	0.03***	(0.01 - 0.07)	0.49	(0.22 - 1.08)	0.02***	(0.01 - 0.05)
Pre-mar coh (Not)	1.12	(0.73 - 1.70)	0.86	(0.38 - 1.95)	1.77	(0.98 - 3.20)	1.13	(0.57 - 2.23)
Age gap (Wife is old	er: W>H)							
H>W: ≤ 4 years	1.49	(0.87 - 2.53)	0.68	(0.32 - 1.48)	0.67	(0.32 - 1.39)	1.27	(0.64 - 2.52)
H>W: 5+ years	1.39	(0.77 - 2.49)	0.61	(0.23 - 1.65)	0.90	(0.42 - 1.92)	0.67	(0.26- 1.72)
Constant	0.32	(0.08 - 1.25)	9.80	(0.92-104.40)	0.16	(0.01 - 2.19)	0.48	(0.05 - 4.68)

Notes: Data come from the NLSY79. Multinomial logistic regression models are estimated on the sub-sample of Black women (N=806). Coefficients are presented as relative risk ratios; 95% confidence intervals are in parentheses. Analyses are weighted. Statistical significance is indicated by: *p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001.

Table A6. Multinomial logistic regression models predicting trajectory group membership (referent category: "wife is consistently equal earner"), Hispanic wives

		sistently dary, low		ntly primary arner		reasing, ary to equal		ing, equal to ondary
	OR	95th CI	OR	95th CI	OR	95th CI	OR	95th CI
Model 1: Zero-order								
EAM (ref.=Homo)								
Hyper (W <h)< td=""><td>1.21</td><td>(0.78 - 1.88)</td><td>1.15</td><td>(0.56 - 2.36)</td><td>0.80</td><td>(0.40 - 1.62)</td><td>0.86</td><td>(0.42 - 1.77)</td></h)<>	1.21	(0.78 - 1.88)	1.15	(0.56 - 2.36)	0.80	(0.40 - 1.62)	0.86	(0.42 - 1.77)
Hypo (W>H)	0.99	(0.57 - 1.70)	2.46*	(1.19 - 5.07)	1.05	(0.48 - 2.30)	2.00	(0.96 - 4.14)
Intercept	0.69**	(0.53 - 0.90)	0.17***	(0.11 - 0.26)	0.27***	(0.18 - 0.41)	0.21***	(0.14 - 0.31)
Model 2: M1+ Family background								
EAM (ref.=Homo)								
Hyper (W <h)< td=""><td>1.23</td><td>(0.79 - 1.92)</td><td>1.14</td><td>(0.55 - 2.34)</td><td>0.80</td><td>(0.40 - 1.60)</td><td>0.81</td><td>(0.39 - 1.68)</td></h)<>	1.23	(0.79 - 1.92)	1.14	(0.55 - 2.34)	0.80	(0.40 - 1.60)	0.81	(0.39 - 1.68)
Hypo (W>H)	1.02	(0.59 - 1.76)	2.52*	(1.22 - 5.22)	1.06	(0.49 - 2.31)	1.84	(0.88 - 3.85)
Family structure at 14								
Two parent hh	0.89	(0.59 - 1.37)	0.79	(0.43 - 1.47)	1.30	(0.69 - 2.46)	1.20	(0.62 - 2.34)
Mother's traits	1.25	(0.76 - 1.99)	1.74	(0.76 - 3.95)	1.34	(0.65 - 2.80)	0.58	(0.31 - 1.09)
Less than HS	0.81	(0.54 - 1.22)	1.25	(0.70 - 2.24)	1.16	(0.62 - 2.15)	1.64	(0.82 - 3.33)
Worked at age 14								
Intercept	0.69	(0.37 - 1.29)	0.11***	(0.04 - 0.30)	0.17***	(0.06 - 0.43)	0.19***	(0.08 - 0.49)
Model 3: M2+ Gender beliefs								
EAM (ref.=Homo)								
Hyper (W <h)< td=""><td>1.29</td><td>(0.82 - 2.03)</td><td>1.14</td><td>(0.56 - 2.34)</td><td>0.80</td><td>(0.40 - 1.62)</td><td>0.82</td><td>(0.40 - 1.71)</td></h)<>	1.29	(0.82 - 2.03)	1.14	(0.56 - 2.34)	0.80	(0.40 - 1.62)	0.82	(0.40 - 1.71)
Hypo (W>H)	1.07	(0.60 - 1.91)	2.49*	(1.19 - 5.22)	1.08	(0.49 - 2.40)	2.02	(0.96 - 4.27)
Maternal traits at 14								
Two parent hh	0.91	(0.58 - 1.41)	0.79	(0.43 - 1.47)	1.32	(0.70 - 2.49)	1.24	(0.63 - 2.43)
Less than HS (higher)	1.03	(0.63 - 1.66)	1.75	(0.73 - 4.17)	1.21	(0.60 - 2.45)	0.53	(0.27 - 1.05)
Working (Not)	1.00	(0.66 - 1.53)	1.24	(0.68 - 2.25)	1.32	(0.71 - 2.47)	1.95	(0.95 - 3.97)
Traditional values	2.88***	(1.94 - 4.26)	0.86	(0.47 - 1.55)	1.94*	(1.16 - 3.22)	1.95*	(1.05 - 3.61)
Anticipated to work -35	0.88	(0.45 - 1.72)	0.95	(0.34 - 2.67)	0.99	(0.40 - 2.46)	0.57	(0.25 - 1.28)
Anticipated # of child (0)								
One	1.42	(0.43 - 4.71)	0.99	(0.20 - 4.92)	0.93	(0.19 - 4.54)	0.48	(0.08 - 2.98)
Two or more	0.91	(0.32 - 2.62)	1.11	(0.27 - 4.47)	0.72	(0.18 - 2.92)	0.87	(0.20 - 3.77)
Intercept	0.78	(0.21 - 2.84)	0.11*	(0.02 - 0.72)	0.23	(0.03 - 1.65)	0.35	(0.06 - 1.93)

Table A6 (Continued)

	Consistently secondary, low			nsistently ary earner		reasing, ary to equal	Decreasing, equal to secondary	
	OR	95th CI	OR	95th CI	OR	95th CI	OR	95th CI
Model 4: M3+ Respondent's Tra	uits							
EAM (ref.=Homo)								
Hyper (W <h)< td=""><td>1.24</td><td>(0.76 - 2.02)</td><td>1.05</td><td>(0.51 - 2.18)</td><td>0.76</td><td>(0.36 - 1.57)</td><td>0.99</td><td>(0.46 - 2.13)</td></h)<>	1.24	(0.76 - 2.02)	1.05	(0.51 - 2.18)	0.76	(0.36 - 1.57)	0.99	(0.46 - 2.13)
Hypo (W>H)	1.10	(0.59 - 2.05)	3.00*	(1.23 - 7.30)	1.22	(0.54 - 2.80)	2.09	(0.96 - 4.57)
Maternal traits at 14								
Two parent hh	0.97	(0.61 - 1.55)	0.78	(0.40 - 1.55)	1.39	(0.73 - 2.63)	1.19	(0.59 - 2.39)
Less than HS (higher)	0.97	(0.58 - 1.64)	1.85	(0.71 - 4.81)	1.22	(0.58 - 2.55)	0.64	(0.31 - 1.31)
Working (Not)	0.99	(0.64 - 1.53)	1.17	(0.64 - 2.16)	1.34	(0.72 - 2.48)	1.97	(0.94 - 4.14)
Traditional values	2.32***	(1.56 - 3.49)	0.93	(0.52 - 1.67)	1.53	(0.91 - 2.59)	2.13*	(1.15 - 3.95)
Anticipated to work -35	1.03	(0.49 - 2.15)	1.06	(0.34 - 3.30)	1.19	(0.44 - 3.22)	0.63	(0.28 - 1.44)
Anticipated # of child (0)								
One	1.52	(0.38 - 6.07)	0.95	(0.18 - 5.00)	1.18	(0.20 - 7.02)	0.52	(0.08 - 3.47)
Two or more	0.86	(0.24 - 3.11)	1.24	(0.29 - 5.34)	0.79	(0.16 - 3.91)	0.77	(0.15 - 3.83)
Education (High school)								
Less than HS	1.01	(0.56 - 1.80)	0.97	(0.39 - 2.42)	1.02	(0.48 - 2.17)	0.62	(0.22 - 1.71)
Some college	0.99	(0.55 - 1.79)	1.09	(0.43 - 2.75)	0.82	(0.34 - 1.98)	0.74	(0.32 - 1.70)
College graduate	0.71	(0.27 - 1.91)	1.02	(0.35 - 3.01)	0.66 (0.17 - 2.53)		1.54	(0.45 - 5.28)
Children from prior union								
Had (Did not)	0.63	(0.35 - 1.12)	1.17	(0.55 - 2.50)	0.34*	(0.14 - 0.83)	0.41	(0.15 - 1.11)
Age at marriage (15-19)								
20-24	0.87	(0.49 - 1.57)	0.30*	(0.12 - 0.77)	0.62	(0.29 - 1.31)	0.81	(0.31 - 2.08)
25-29	0.67	(0.32 - 1.43)	0.70	(0.27 - 1.83)	1.17	(0.43 - 3.16)	1.24	(0.39 - 3.90)
30+	1.15	(0.46 - 2.87)	0.49	(0.15 - 1.55)	1.63	(0.51 - 5.21)	0.50	(0.09 - 2.66)
Unemployment spell (None)								
Unemployed	3.01***	(1.82 - 4.98)	0.44*	(0.22 - 0.89)	3.76***	(1.91 - 7.40)	0.92	(0.46 - 1.81)
Constant	0.38	(0.07 - 1.98)	0.22	(0.03 - 1.73)	0.09	(0.01-1.04)	0.44	(0.05 - 3.66)

Table A6 (Continued)

	Consistently secondary, low			asistently ary earner		creasing, ary to equal	Decreasing, equal to secondary	
	OR	95th CI	OR	95th CI	OR	95th CI	OR	95th CI
Model 5: M4 + Partnership charac	cteristics							
EAM (ref.=Homo)								
Hyper (W <h)< td=""><td>1.24</td><td>(0.76 - 2.03)</td><td>1.34</td><td>(0.63 - 2.83)</td><td>0.78</td><td>(0.37 - 1.62)</td><td>1.09</td><td>(0.49 - 2.44)</td></h)<>	1.24	(0.76 - 2.03)	1.34	(0.63 - 2.83)	0.78	(0.37 - 1.62)	1.09	(0.49 - 2.44)
Hypo (W>H)	1.16	(0.60 - 2.21)	3.02*	(1.19 - 7.67)	1.37	(0.60 - 3.13)	2.06	(0.93 - 4.60)
Maternal traits at 14								
Two parent hh	0.98	(0.60 - 1.59)	0.84	(0.39 - 1.80)	1.37	(0.72 - 2.63)	1.32	(0.65 - 2.69)
Less than HS (higher)	0.98	(0.58 - 1.66)	1.95	(0.69 - 5.50)	1.22	(0.58 - 2.58)	0.65	(0.32 - 1.34)
Working (Not)	1.00	(0.64 - 1.55)	1.04	(0.53 - 2.06)	1.34	(0.72 - 2.49)	1.88	(0.90 - 3.92)
Traditional values	2.39***	(1.59 - 3.61)	0.83	(0.44 - 1.57)	1.58	(0.94 - 2.64)	2.11*	(1.15 - 3.90)
Aniticipated to work -35	1.07	(0.50 - 2.29)	0.88	(0.27 - 2.88)	1.20	(0.44 - 3.22)	0.54	(0.24 - 1.22)
Anticipated # of child (0)								
One	1.17	(0.35 - 3.89)	1.77	(0.22-14.21)	0.88	(0.17 - 4.67)	0.54	(0.08 - 3.78)
Two or more	0.75	(0.25 - 2.22)	1.39	(0.23 - 8.32)	0.67	(0.14 - 2.99)	0.72	(0.14- 3.68)
Education (High school)								
Less than HS	1.13	(0.62 - 2.06)	0.49	(0.18 - 1.33)	1.07	(0.50 - 2.30)	0.43	(0.14 - 1.29)
Some college	0.96	(0.53 - 1.76)	1.67	(0.58 - 4.81)	0.75	(0.32 - 1.77)	0.86	(0.37 - 2.10)
College graduate	0.61	(0.22 - 1.65)	1.62	(0.38 - 6.89)	0.55	(0.14 - 2.08)	2.15	(0.62 - 7.43)
Children from prior union								
Had (Did not)	0.59	(0.31 - 1.09)	1.45	(0.61 - 3.42)	0.31*	(0.12 - 0.79)	0.37	(0.13 - 1.06)
Age at marriage (15-19)								
20-24	0.89	(0.49 - 1.61)	0.19**	(0.058 - 0.59)	0.64	(0.30 - 1.35)	0.71	(0.26 - 1.94)
25-29	0.58	(0.26 - 1.29)	0.71	(0.23 - 2.18)	1.09	(0.40 - 2.94)	1.16	(0.34- 3.94)
30+	1.08	(0.40 - 2.89)	0.38	(0.07 - 2.07)	1.72	(0.51 - 5.78)	0.39	(0.07 - 2.21)

Table A6 (Continued)

	Consistently secondary, low			sistently ary earner		reasing, ary to equal	Decreasing, equal to secondary	
	OR	95th CI	OR	95th CI	OR	95th CI	OR	95th CI
Unemployment spell (None)								
Unemployed	3.44***	(2.05 - 5.78)	0.25***	(0.12 - 0.52)	4.03***	(1.97 - 8.26)	0.73	(0.36 - 1.49)
Husband's income (ref.=under poverty line)								
100-199% poverty	1.40	(0.61 - 3.19)	0.18**	(0.06 - 0.52)	1.90	(0.61 - 5.96)	0.55	(0.20 - 1.52)
200+% poverty	2.78**	(1.40 - 5.50)	0.05***	(0.02 - 0.10)	2.34	(0.91 - 6.04)	0.24***	(0.10 - 0.55)
Pre-marital cohabitation	1.19	(0.70 - 2.03)	0.89	(0.39 - 2.04)	1.42	(0.67 - 2.98)	1.64	(0.72 - 3.76)
Age gap (ref.=wife is older)								
Spouses within 2 years	0.74	(0.40 - 1.37)	0.99	(0.41 - 2.41)	1.18	(0.51 - 2.71)	1.39	(0.59- 3.31)
Husband is 5+ years older	1.00	(0.50 - 2.02)	0.77	(0.25 - 2.35)	1.38	(0.51 - 3.73)	1.56	(0.58 - 4.17)
Constant	0.20	(0.04 - 1.07)	1.81	(0.14-24.39)	0.04*	(0.00 - 0.58)	1.08	(0.11-11.04)

Notes: Data come from the NLSY79. Multinomial logistic regression models are estimated on the sub-sample of Hispanic women (*N*=679). Coefficients are presented as relative risk ratios; 95% confidence intervals are in parentheses. Analyses are weighted. Statistical significance is indicated by: *p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001.

Table A7. Distribution of trajectories of wives' share of couples' total annual income, by wives'

race/ethnicity, pooled sample

	,					
Wife's	Wife is	Wife is	Wife is	Wife is	Wife is	Wife is
race/ethnicity	increasing to	consistently	consistently	consistently	decreasing to	consistently
	parity	low	high	equal earner	secondary	primary
		secondary	secondary			
(a) NH White	10.9°	30.7 ^{b,c}	25.6°	18.5 ^b	10.2 ^{b,c}	4.1 ^b
(b) NH Black	10.4°	19.0 ^{a,c}	28.0	26.0 ^{a,c}	7.6a	9.1 ^{a,c}
(c) Hispanic	13.6 ^{a,b}	26.3 ^{a,b}	30.3a	18.8 ^b	8.1a	2.9 ^b

Notes: Table shows the weighted percentages of White, Black, and Hispanic married women following each prototypical trajectory from Figure A2. Letter superscripts indicate statistically significant differences (*p*<.05) in the probability of trajectory group membership between racial/ethnic groups: (a) different from NH White women, (b) different from NH Black women, (c) different from Hispanic women.

 Table A8. Predicted probabilities of trajectory group membership by educational assortative mating


and race/ethnicity

and race/ethnicity											
	Predicted probabilities			Statistical tests							
				AMEs Contrasts							
	Hyper	Homo	Нуро	Hyper vs.	Hypo vs.	Hypo vs. Hyper	Hyper vs.	Hypo vs.	Hypo vs.		
	W <h< td=""><td>W=H</td><td>W>H</td><td>Homo</td><td>Homo</td><td>Homo</td><td>Homo</td><td>Hyper</td></h<>	W=H	W>H	Homo	Homo		Homo	Homo	Hyper		
Increasing to parity											
a White	0.05	0.07	0.08								
b Black	0.05	0.09	0.05								
c Hispanic	0.08	0.06	0.07								
Consistently secondar	y, low										
a White	0.43	0.33	0.25	***	**	***	<i>b</i> , <i>c</i>		<i>b</i> , <i>c</i>		
b Black	0.25	0.25	0.19				а		a		
c Hispanic	0.27	0.28	0.24				а		a		
Consistently secondar	y, high										
a White	0.22	0.30	0.30	**		*	b				
b Black	0.35	0.30	0.34				а				
c Hispanic	0.30	0.36	0.31								
Consistently equal											
a White	0.16	0.17	0.26		***	***					
b Black	0.22	0.24	0.31		†	*					
c Hispanic	0.23	0.21	0.26								
Decreasing to seconda	ry										
a White	0.09	0.10	0.05		**	*					
b Black	0.09	0.06	0.04			*					
c Hispanic	0.08	0.08	0.08								
Consistently primary											
a White	0.04	0.04	0.05								
b Black	0.05	0.07	0.07				c				
c Hispanic	0.04	0.01	0.03	†			b				

Notes: Predicted probabilities are computed from a multinomial logistic regression model of trajectory group membership as a function of educational assortative mating, race/ethnicity, an interaction between the two, and controls. Full regression results available upon request. Average marginal effects (AMEs, or first differences) test whether predicted probabilities are significantly different between educational assortative mating categories, within each racial/ethnic group. Contrasts (or second differences) test whether the educational assortative mating effects are significantly different between racial/ethnic groups. Statistical significance of AMEs is indicated by: $^{\dagger}p$ <.10, $^{*}p$ <.05, $^{**}p$ <.01, two-tailed tests; statistically significant (p<.05) contrasts indicated by letters.


C. Appendix Figures

Figure A1. Change over time in educational assortative mating, by race/ethnicity



Notes: Authors' calculations of data from the Census (1980, 1990, 2000, 2010) and the 5-year American Community Survey (2018).

Figure A2. Group-based trajectories of the share of income earned by wives, pooled sample



Notes: The figure is estimated using the results from our group-based trajectory models run on the pooled sample. Model includes linear, squared, and cubed measures of marital duration. Marital duration (in years) is on the x-axis; the percentage of a couple's total income earned contributed by wife is on the y-axis. Lines indicate the loess-smoothed average share over time within each group (95% confidence intervals are shaded in gray). Table A7 provides the share of each racial/ethnic group following each trajectory.